

RENORMALIZATION GROUP APPROACH TO INTERACTING CRUMPLED SURFACES: the hierarchical recursion*

*M.Cassandro^(**) and P.K.Mitter⁽⁺⁾*

^(**)Dipartimento di Fisica, I Università di Roma “La Sapienza”
P.le A.Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, (Italy)

⁽⁺⁾Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies^{1,2}
Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6)

PAR-LPTHE 9346

Abstract

We study the scaling limit of a model of a tethered crumpled D -dimensional random surface interacting through an exclusion condition with a fixed impurity in d -dimensional Euclidean space by the methods of Wilson’s renormalization group.

In this paper we consider a hierarchical version of the model and we prove rigorously the existence of the scaling limit and convergence to a non-Gaussian fixed point for $1 \leq D < 2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, where $\epsilon = D - (2 - D)\frac{d}{2}$.

* Work supported by the CNRS and in part by EC Science grant SC*10394

1 URA 280 au CNRS

2 Postal address: LPTHE, Université Paris 6, Boîte 126, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

e-mail: mitter@lpthe.jussieu.fr cassandro@roma1.infn.it

1. Introduction

There has been much interest recently in the statistical mechanics of tethered surfaces and the associated crumpling transition. [See the contributions in [1] and [2-7]]. The underlying Hamiltonian has stretching and bending terms as well as an Edwards interaction (generalized to surfaces) modelling self-avoidance [See the contributions of D.R.Nelson and others in [1]]. In [1 – 7] perturbative renormalisability is assumed and the fixed point in the crumpled phase governing long distance asymptotics is calculated in ϵ -expansion in lowest order. To make further progress in the study of renormalizability Duplantier, in [5], proposed the study of a simpler model where the Edwards interaction corresponding to self-avoidance is replaced by an interaction with a fixed impurity via an exclusion condition. The model is that of the quantum field theory of a fluctuating D-dimensional crumpled surface embedded in d-dimensional Euclidean space corresponding to an Euclidean classical action:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x |\nabla \vec{\phi}(x)|^2 + \tilde{g}_0 \int d^D x \delta^{(d)}(\vec{\phi}(x)) \quad (1.1)$$

which we can restrict to a finite volume. Here $\vec{\phi}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and represents a point of a D-dimensional surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The Gaussian term corresponds to a so called phantom surface whose Hausdorff dimension is identified with $d_H = \frac{2D}{2-D}$ [1]. The δ -function interaction in (1.1) forbids this surface from touching a point (in this case the origin) of $\mathbb{R}^d$. This is highly singular, and thus renormalizability is at stake for the field theory.

However F.David et al [7] have completed the perturbative renormalisation programme to all orders and, for $1 \leq D < 2$, found the RG non-Gaussian fixed point in ϵ -expansion. Here $\epsilon = D - (2 - D)\frac{d}{2}$. The purpose of this article is to show how the methods of Wilson's renormalization group [8], (RG) , can be profitably and rigorously applied to the study of the scaling limit of the Duplantier model. The idea, as usual, is to introduce an ultraviolet (UV) cutoff version of (1.1), and then to remove this cutoff (thus achieving the scaling limit) by thinning out degrees of freedom. through successive RG iterations. However, in the present paper, we make one simplification: we replace the cutoff free field by its hierarchical version [Gallavotti [9],[10]]. As is well known the UV cutoff hierarchical free field retains the standard scaling properties and long-distance behaviour but eliminates non-localities

in the RG and the resulting RG transformation is similar to the approximate recursion relation discussed by Wilson [8]. To discuss the "more realistic" theory (without hierarchical approx) it is necessary to take care of the non localities that will arise. Standard techniques to handle this problem are the cluster and Mayer expansions, (see for instance, [10], [11], [12]). This will be the subject of a subsequent paper. But the RG analysis and convergence to a non-Gaussian fixed point is best seen first in the hierarchical framework where the underlying mechanisms are more transparent.

In this paper, starting from an UV cutoff version of (1.1) and in the hierarchical scheme, we will prove the existence of the scaling limit (UV cutoff $\rightarrow \infty$), for $1 \leq D < 2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. In Section II the cutoff version of the model is presented and in Section III the RG and its hierarchical version. We have gone into some pedagogical detail in Section III for the uninitiated reader. In sections IV and V we give a rigorous proof of the convergence of the RG iterations to a non-Gaussian fixed point. We estimate the effective potential at every step through convergent expansions. We prove that the sequence of effective potentials converges to a fixed point. The strategy is similar to that of Gawedzki and Kupiainen [13,14] in a different context. The precise statement of our results is given by Theorem 1 at the beginning of section V. Some technical matters are left to the Appendices.

2. The UV cutoff model

We introduce a momentum space cutoff-function $F(p^2)$ where $F > 0, C^\infty$, monotonic decreasing, $F(p^2) \rightarrow 0$ as $p^2 \rightarrow \infty$ rapidly and $F(0) = 1$. An example of such a cutoff function is

$$F(p^2) = e^{-p^2}$$

We assume: $\int d^D p F(p^2) < \infty$. Our cutoff free field propagator in momentum space is:

$$C_\Lambda(p) = \frac{F(p^2/\Lambda^2)}{p^2}$$

where Λ is the UV cutoff. We will choose $\Lambda = L^N$ (in fixed units), so $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Here $L > 2$ is an integer. The corresponding cutoff action is:

$$S_\Lambda(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \left(\nabla \varphi(x), F\left(\frac{-\Delta}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{-1} \nabla \varphi(x) \right) + \tilde{g}_0(\Lambda) \int d^D x \delta_\Lambda^{(d)}(\varphi(x)) \quad (2.1)$$

where $\varphi(x) \in \mathfrak{R}^d$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the inner product in $\mathfrak{R}^d$. Here the cutoff dependence of $\tilde{g}_0(\Lambda)$ is to be chosen, and $\delta_\Lambda^{(d)}$ is an approximating sequence such that $\delta_\Lambda^{(d)} \rightarrow \delta^{(d)}$, as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, in the sense of distributions. We will choose:

$$\delta_\Lambda^{(d)}(\varphi) = \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_0(\Lambda)}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_0(\Lambda)|\varphi|^2}{2}} \quad (2.2)$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm in $\mathfrak{R}^d$, $\tilde{\lambda}_0 > 0$ with the property $\tilde{\lambda}_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. The cutoff dependence will be fixed presently.

From the free field piece of (2.1) we see that the canonical (engineering) dimension of φ in mass units, is:

$$[\varphi] = \frac{D-2}{2} \quad (2.3)$$

which means, from (2.2),

$$[\tilde{\lambda}_0] = 2 - D \quad (2.4)$$

and hence from (2.2) and (2.1) we have:

$$[\tilde{g}_0] = D - (2 - D)\frac{d}{2} \equiv \varepsilon \quad (2.5)$$

We can now introduce dimensionless couplings λ_0, g_0 via:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_0(\Lambda) &= \Lambda^{2-D} \lambda_0 \\ \tilde{g}_0(\Lambda) &= \Lambda^\varepsilon g_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

where λ_0, g_0 are cutoff independent and held positive. We shall hold $1 \leq D < 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, so that $\tilde{\lambda}_0(\Lambda)$ and $\tilde{g}_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. (The marginal case $\varepsilon = 0$ corresponds to critical internal dimension $D_{crit} = \frac{2d}{d+2}$ or critical external dimension $d_{crit} = \frac{2D}{2-D}$).

It is convenient to pass to “dimensionless” fields Φ (unit cutoff) given by:

$$\varphi(x) = \Lambda^{\frac{D-2}{2}} \Phi(\Lambda x) \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting this in (2.1) and making use of (2.6), we get the unit cutoff action:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\Phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \ (\nabla \Phi(x) F(-\Delta) \nabla \Phi(x)) \\ &+ \int d^D x \ v_0(\Phi(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where:

$$v_0(\Phi) = g_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2} |\Phi|^2} \quad (2.9)$$

To reach the continuum limit ($\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$) starting from S_Λ in (2.1), is equivalent to starting from the unit cutoff action (2.8), performing RG iterations $\ln \Lambda = N$ times and taking $N \rightarrow \infty$, [8]. As we shall see, no unstable directions are encountered, so that no further renormalization is necessary. However to speed up the convergence, and simplify the analysis, we will hold the dimensionless parameter λ_0 at a fixed value λ_* , which will be defined later.

3. The R.G. and its hierarchical version

The partition function corresponding to the unit cutoff action (2.8) is given by:

$$Z = \int d\mu_{C_1}(\Phi) e^{-V_o(\Phi)} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mu_{C_1}(\Phi) &= \bigotimes_{j=1}^d \mu_{C_1}(\Phi_j) \\ V_0(\Phi) &= \int d^D x \quad v_0(\Phi(x)) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.2)$$

(see 2.9), and μ_{C_1} is the Gaussian measure of mean 0 and unit cutoff covariance C_1 , whose integral kernel is:

$$C_1(x-y) = \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} \frac{F(p^2)}{p^2} \quad (3.3)$$

(3.1) is well defined, if we restrict ourselves to a finite volume ϑ_N , with $|\vartheta_N| = L^{ND}|\vartheta|$. (If the original cutoff action (1.1) is held in fixed volume ϑ , then passing to unit cutoff increases ϑ to ϑ_N as above).

The sample field Φ is at least once differentiable, since $\int d^D p F(p^2) < \infty$.

To obtain a RG transformation we write

$$C_1 = C_{1/L} + \Gamma$$

where in momentum space,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_{1/L}(p) &= \frac{F(L^2 p^2)}{p^2} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}(p) &= \frac{F(p^2) - F(L^2 p^2)}{p^2} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.4)$$

and, correspondingly, write:

$$\Phi = \varphi + \zeta$$

as a sum of independent Gaussian random fields distributed with covariance $C_{1/L}, \Gamma$ respectively. Correspondingly, the partition function Z can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \int d\mu_{C_{1/L}}(\varphi) \int d\mu_{\Gamma}(\zeta) e^{-V_0(\varphi+\zeta)} \\
&= \int d\mu_{C_1}(\Phi) \int d\mu_{\Gamma}(\zeta) e^{-V_0(L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \Phi(L^{-1}\cdot)+\zeta)}
\end{aligned}$$

The RG transformation then is:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_0(\Phi) &\rightarrow V_1(\Phi), \\
e^{-V_1(\Phi)} &= \int d\mu_{\Gamma}(\zeta) e^{-V_0(L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \Phi(L^{-1}\cdot)+\zeta)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where μ_{Γ} is Gaussian measure with covariance Γ (see 3.4). The above transformation is to be iterated $\ln \Lambda = N$ times and $N \rightarrow \infty$, to achieve the continuum limit.

Note that Γ has exponential decay in x -space, since $\tilde{\Gamma}(p)$ is regular at $p = 0$. Even if V_0 is local, V_1 will be not. But the RG functional integral, and thus V_1 , can be studied by a high temperature expansion (for this, for other models, see for instance Gallavotti [10], [11], D.C.Brydges [11], and the contributions of Brydges, Gallavotti, Gawedzki- Kupiainen, Feldman et al in [12]).

In this paper we obviate this difficulty by introducing the hierarchical approximation to the cutoff free field, which enforces locality in the RG transformation and keeps scaling properties intact.

To this end we first introduce a sequence of independent Gaussian random vectors $\{\zeta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\zeta_n(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with covariance

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \zeta_n^i(x) \zeta_m^j(y) \rangle &= \delta_{ij} \delta_{nm} \Gamma_n(x-y) \\
\Gamma_n(x-y) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{x-y}{L^n}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then we observe that the unit cutoff free field Φ , distributed according to covariance C_1 , see (3.3), can be written as:

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L^{n \cdot \frac{(2-D)}{2}} \zeta_n \tag{3.7}$$

as can be checked by computing its covariance.

Because of (3.6), the ζ_n are almost piecewise constant on scale L^n , in probability. To see this we use Tchebycheff's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
P\{|\zeta_n(x) - \zeta_n(y)| > \delta\} &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} E(|\zeta_n(x) - \zeta_n(y)|^2) \\
&\leq \frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta^2} E(|\nabla \zeta_n(\bar{x})|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

by the mean value theorem, since a sample field ζ_n is at least once differentiable. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} E(|\nabla \zeta_n(\bar{x})|^2) &= \frac{d}{L^{2n}} \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} (F(p^2) - F(L^2 p^2)) \\ &= \frac{c}{L^{2n}}, \text{ by (3.6).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $|x - y| \leq L^n$

$$P\{|\zeta_n(x) - \zeta_n(y)| > \delta\} \leq \frac{c}{\delta^2}$$

which shows that $\zeta_n(x)$ is nearly constant on scale L^n in probability.

We also record:

$$\langle |\zeta_n(x)|^2 \rangle = d\gamma$$

where

$$\gamma = \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \tilde{\Gamma}(p) < \infty \quad (3.8)$$

(we will evaluate this later for typical strongly cut off functions $F(p^2)$).

Observe also that (3.7), can be written as:

$$\Phi = \zeta_0 + L^{\frac{(2-D)}{2}} \bar{\varphi}$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L^n \frac{(2-D)}{2} \zeta_{n+1} \quad (3.9)$$

and it is easy to check, by computing the covariance, that

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \quad (3.10)$$

Hierarchical RG

The hierarchical free field is modelled on (3.7) and the properties of ζ_n explained before. Namely, we replace the Gaussian random vectors ζ_n which are almost constant in probability on scale L^n by Gaussian random vectors $\zeta_{\Delta,n}$, which are strictly constant on blocks Δ of size L^n . These random vectors are independent for distinct

blocks of the same size, and also for blocks of different size. The independent Gaussian random vectors have the same covariance γ (3.8). Substituting these random vectors in (3.7), gives the hierarchical cutoff free field. Scaling properties are thus preserved.

More precisely, following Gallavotti [9], we introduce a sequence of compatible pavings $\{Q_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, by blocks $\Delta \varepsilon Q_n$ of linear size L^n of $\mathbb{R}^D$. Here Q_n is a refinement of Q_{n+1} . To each block $\Delta \varepsilon Q_n$ we associate an independent Gaussian random vector $\zeta_{n,\Delta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with covariance:

$$\langle \zeta_{n,\Delta}^i, \zeta_{m,\Delta'}^j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{nm} \delta_{\Delta\Delta'} \gamma \quad (3.11)$$

Let $\Delta_n(x)$ be the unique block $\Delta_n \in Q_n$ with $x \in \Delta_n$. The hierarchical cutoff free field is obtained by replacing (3.7) by:

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L^{n \frac{(2-D)}{2}} \zeta_{\Delta_n(x)} \quad (3.12)$$

For cutoff Λ , the fields φ live in $\vartheta \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ with $|\vartheta| = L^{MD}$, for ϑ a hypercube of size L^M . Hence as observed after (3.3), the unit cutoff field Φ lives in hypercube of size L^{N+M} . Hence (3.12) should be strictly replaced by:

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N+M} L^{n \frac{(2-D)}{2}} \zeta_{\Delta_n(x)} \quad (3.12')$$

The ζ_{Δ_n} are piecewise constant, but all scaling properties are preserved. We can write (3.12') as:

$$\Phi(x) = \zeta_{\Delta_0(x)} + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1}(x) \quad (3.13)$$

where,

$$\bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N+M-1} L^{n \frac{(2-D)}{2}} \zeta_{\Delta_{n+1}(x)}$$

$\Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2 \subset \Delta_3 \subset \dots$. The subscript Δ_1 in $\bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1}$ emphasizes that $\bar{\varphi}$ is piecewise constant on blocks $\Delta_1 \varepsilon Q_1$. It is easy to see that $\bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1}(x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)$, since $x \varepsilon \Delta_{n+1} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{L} \varepsilon \Delta_n$.

Our RG transformation (3.5) simplifies considerably for the hierarchical cutoff field. (3.5) reads:

$$e^{-V_1(\Phi)} = \int \prod_{\Delta_0 \varepsilon Q_0} d\mu_\gamma(\zeta_{\Delta_0}) e^{-V_0(\zeta + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi})}$$

where

$$d\mu_\gamma(\zeta_{\Delta_0}) = (2\pi\gamma)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|\zeta_{\Delta_0}|^2}{2\gamma}} d^d \zeta_{\Delta_0}. \quad (3.14)$$

Since Φ is piecewise constant over blocks $\Delta_0 \varepsilon Q_0$,

$$V_0(\Phi) = \int d^D x \ v_0(\Phi(x)) = \sum_{\Delta_0 \varepsilon Q_0} v_0(\Phi_{\Delta_0}) \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\Delta_0} = \sum_{n \geq 0} L^n \frac{(2-D)}{2} \zeta_{\Delta_n}, \quad \Delta_0 \subset \Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2 \subset \dots$$

Hence, using (3.15) and (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-v_0(\zeta + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi})} &= \prod_{\Delta_0 \varepsilon Q_0} e^{-v_0(\zeta_{\Delta_0} + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} \\ &= \prod_{\Delta_1 \varepsilon Q_1} \prod_{\substack{\Delta_0 \subset \Delta_1 \\ (\Delta_0 \varepsilon Q_0)}} e^{-v_0(\zeta_{\Delta_0} + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into the RG transformation (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}^{V_1(\Phi)} &= \prod_{\Delta_1 \varepsilon Q_1} \prod_{\substack{\Delta_0 \subset \Delta_1 \\ \Delta_0 \varepsilon Q_0}} \int d\mu_\gamma(\zeta_{\Delta_0}) e^{-v_0(\zeta_{\Delta_0} + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} \\ &= \prod_{\Delta_1 \varepsilon Q_1} \left[\int d\mu_\gamma(\zeta_{\Delta_0}) e^{-v_0(\zeta_{\Delta_0} + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} \right]^{L^D} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

since $\sharp\{\Delta_0 \text{ bloks in } \Delta_1\} = L^D$.

We define the hierarchical RG transformation:

$$e^{-v_1(\bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} = \left[\int d\mu_\gamma(\zeta_{\Delta_0}) e^{-v_0(\zeta_{\Delta_0} + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} \right]^{L^D} \quad (3.17)$$

Then from (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-V_1(\Phi)} &= \prod_{\Delta_1 \varepsilon Q_1} e^{-v_1(\bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} = e^{-\sum_{\Delta_1 \varepsilon Q_1} v_1(\bar{\varphi}_{\Delta_1})} = e^{-\sum_{\Delta_0 \varepsilon Q_0} v_1(\Phi_{\Delta_0})} \\ &= e^{-\int d^D x \ v_1(\Phi(x))} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

4. Hierarchical RG iterations: the first step

We begin the study of the sequence of hierarchical RG iterations:

$$v_n(\varphi) \rightarrow v_{n+1}(\varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where

$$v_{n+1}(\varphi) = -L^D \ln \left((\mu_\gamma * e^{v_n})(L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \varphi) \right) \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_\gamma * e^{-v_n})(L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \varphi) &= \int d\mu_\gamma(\zeta) e^{-v_n(\zeta + L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \varphi)} \\ d\mu_\gamma(\zeta) &= (2\pi\gamma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|\zeta|^2}{2\gamma}} d^d \zeta \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

with

$$v_0(\varphi) = g_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_0}{2} |\varphi|^2} \quad (4.3)$$

Note that γ , given by (3.8), can be evaluated to be: (use $F(p^2) = e^{-p^2}$ as cutoff function)

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2^{D/2}} \cdot \frac{2}{2-D} (L^{2-D} - 1)$$

and so:

$$\gamma = O(L^{2-D}), \quad \text{for } D < 2. \quad (4.4)$$

From this, and 4.1 - 4.3, we immediately have analytic continuation in D for

$$1 \leq D < 2$$

.

To set the ball rolling, look at the first iteration $v_0 \rightarrow v_1$ in lowest order in g_0 .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_\gamma * e^{-v_0})(L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \varphi) &= 1 - g_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} (\mu_\gamma * e^{-\lambda_0 |\cdot|^2})(L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \varphi) + O(g_0^2) \\ &= 1 - g_0 L^{-(2-D)\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 |\varphi|^2} + O(g_0^2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where:

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{L^{2-D} \lambda_0}{1 + \gamma \lambda_0} \quad (4.6)$$

and we have used the formula for Gaussian integration, for $u > 0$,

$$(\mu_\gamma * e^{-u|\cdot|^2}) (L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \varphi) = \frac{1}{(1 + \gamma u)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{L^{2-D} u}{1 + \gamma u} |\varphi|^2} \quad (4.7)$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(\varphi) &= -L^D \ln(\mu_\gamma * e^{-v_0} (L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \varphi)) \\ &= L^\varepsilon g_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 |\varphi|^2} + O(g_0^2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where:

$$\varepsilon = D - (2 - D) \cdot \frac{d}{2} > 0$$

Notice that we hold $D < 2$, hence the map

$$\lambda_0 \rightarrow \lambda_1 = \frac{L^{2-D} \lambda_0}{1 + \gamma \lambda_0}$$

has a fixed point

$$\lambda_* = \frac{L^{2-D} - 1}{\gamma} = 2^{-(2-\frac{D}{2})} (2 - D) < 1 \quad (4.9)$$

To simplify the further analysis, and speed up the convergence, we shall choose the starting $\lambda_0 = \lambda_*$, and

$$v_*(\varphi) = \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} |\varphi|^2} \quad (4.10)$$

and the starting interaction:

$$v_0(\varphi) = g_0 v_*(\varphi) \quad (4.11)$$

Iteration $v_0 \rightarrow v_1$

Notations

$$\beta = 2 - D, \alpha = (2 - D)\frac{d}{2} > 0, \quad d \geq 2.$$

$$\varepsilon = D - \alpha > 0, \quad 1 \leq D < 2 \Big\}$$

We shall also write

$$\langle F \rangle_\varphi \equiv (\mu_\gamma * F) (L^{\beta/2} \varphi) \quad (*)$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= -L^D \ln \left((\mu_\gamma * e^{-g_0 v_*}) (L^{\beta/2} \varphi) \right) \\ &= -L^D \ln \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-g_0)^n}{n!} \langle v_*^n \rangle_\varphi \right) \\ &= -L^D \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-g_0)^n}{n!} \langle v_*^n \rangle_\varphi \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

whence:

$$v_1(\varphi) = g_0 L^D \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_k} (-g_0)^{|\ell|-1} c_k(\ell) \prod_{j=1}^k \langle v_*^{\ell_j} \rangle_\varphi \quad (4.12)$$

$$1 \leq \ell_j < \infty$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k), \quad |\ell| = \sum_{j=1}^k \ell_j \\ c_k(\ell) &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^k (\ell_j!)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Remark:

By collecting terms of given power of g_0 , we can write (4.12) as:

$$v_1 = L^D g_0 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-g_0)^{n-1}}{n!} \langle v_*^n \rangle_{conn}$$

and this is just the cumulant expansion.

By explicit Gaussian integration, using formula 4.7, together with the property that $\lambda_* = \frac{1}{\gamma}(L^{2-D} - 1)$ is the fixed point of the transformation $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda' = \frac{L^\beta \lambda}{1 + \gamma \lambda}$, we obtain, for large L ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_*^\ell \rangle_\varphi &= L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi) \frac{1}{\ell^{d/2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{(\ell-1)\frac{d}{2}} \cdot \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) L^{-\beta} \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) |\varphi|^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where strictly speaking $L^{-\beta}(1 - \frac{1}{\ell})$ stands for $O(L^{-\beta}(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}))$ and this will be understood.

Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_k(\ell, g_0) &= (-g_0)^{|\ell|-1} c_k(\ell) d_k(\ell) \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{(|\ell|-1)\frac{d}{2}} \\ d_k(\ell) &= \prod_{j=1}^k \ell_j^{-d/2} \\ f_k(\ell) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell_j} \right) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_k(\ell, g_0) &= (-g_0)^{|\ell|-1} c_k(\ell) d_k(\ell) \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{(|\ell|-1)\frac{d}{2}} \\ d_k(\ell) &= \prod_{j=1}^k \ell_j^{-d/2} \\ f_k(\ell) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell_j} \right) \end{aligned}} \right\} \quad (4.15)$$

with $c_k(\ell)$ given in (4.13).

Plugging in (4.14) into (4.12), we get:

$$v_1(\varphi) = L^\varepsilon g_0 v_*(\varphi) G_1(\varphi, g_0) \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\varphi, g_0) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} L^{-(k-1)\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \dots \ell_k \\ 1 \leq \ell_j < \infty}} \tilde{h}_k(\ell, g_0) (1 + L^{-\beta} f_k(\ell)) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} [(k-1) + L^{-\beta} f_k(\ell)] |\varphi|^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

This is a series of differentiable functions of the variable $\varphi^2 = |\varphi|^2 \geq 0$. We have the uniform bound:

$$|G_1| \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} L^{-(k-1)\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \dots \ell_k \\ 1 \leq \ell_j < \infty}} |\tilde{h}_k(\ell, g_0)| (1 + L^{-\beta} k) \quad (4.18)$$

and we claimn that the r.h.s. of (4.18) converges provided

$$|g_0| \leq \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \ln(1 + L^\alpha) \quad (4.18')$$

Thus (4.17) converges uniformly. This shows that G_1 is a continuous function of φ^2 , for sufficiently small g_0 . Later we will see that it is differentiable.

Proof of Claim

To check the uniform convergence, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{l_1 \dots l_k \\ 1 \leq l_j < \infty}} |\tilde{h}_k(\underline{l}, g_0)| (1 + L^{-\beta} k) \\
& \leq (1 + L^{-\beta}) \sum_{\substack{l_1 \dots l_k \\ 1 \leq l_j < \infty}} k \cdot \frac{(|g_0|)^{(\sum_{j=1}^k l_{j-1})}}{k \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k l_j!} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi}\right)^{(\sum_{j=1}^k l_{j-1}) \frac{d}{2}} \\
& = \frac{(1 + L^{-\beta})}{(|g_0|(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2})} \left(\sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(|g_0|(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{\frac{d}{2}})^l}{l!} \right)^k \\
& = \frac{(1 + L^{-\beta})}{|g_0|(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2}} (e^{|g_0|(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2}} - 1)^k \tag{*}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting in the bound (*) in (4.18) we see:

$$|G_1| \leq (1 + L^{-\beta}) \left[\frac{e^{|g_0|(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2}} - 1}{|g_0|(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2}} \right] \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(e^{|g_0|(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2}} - 1)^{k-1}}{L^{(k-1)\alpha}}$$

and the gemetric series on the $r \cdot h \cdot s$ converges provided:

$$|g_0| \leq \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2}} \cdot \ln(1 + L^\alpha) \tag{4.18'}$$

and the claim is proved.

Relevant and Irrelevant terms

We now extract the relevant term which gives an effective coupling g_1 , after one RG step, and a corresponding irrelevant term I_1 , as follows.

Define:

$$g_1 = L^\varepsilon g_0 G_1(\varphi, g_0)|_{\varphi=0} \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$I_1(\varphi, g_0) \doteq L^\varepsilon g_0 [G_1(\varphi, g_0) - G_1(0, g_0)] \tag{4.20}$$

so that we can write the effective potential v_1 , 4.16, as:

$$v_1(\varphi) = v_*(\varphi)[g_1 + I_1(\varphi, g_0)] \quad (4.21)$$

(that I_1 , is irrelevant will be seen presently) From (4.17), and (4.19),

$$g_1 = L^\varepsilon g_0 \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n-1} g_0^{n-1} \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$a_{n-1} = \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi}\right)^{(n-1)\frac{d}{2}} (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L^{-(k-1)\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \dots \ell_k \\ |\ell| = n}} c_k(\ell) d_k(\ell) (1 + L^{-\beta} f_k(\ell)) \quad (4.23)$$

and (4.22) converges absolutely for: $|g_0| < (\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{-d/2} \ln(1 + L^\alpha)$, as follows from (4.18, 4.18').

The first few coefficients are:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1 \\ a_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{L^{-\beta}}{2} - 2^{d/2} L^{-\alpha}\right). \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} (1 + O(L^{-\beta})) < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

From (4.17) we can write:

$$g_1 = L^\varepsilon g_0 (1 + a_1 g_0) + r(g_0) \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$|r(g_0)| \leq c L^\varepsilon |g_0|^3 \quad (4.26)$$

for g_0 sufficiently small.

Ignoring $r(g_0)$, we derive the approximate fixed point.:

$$\bar{g} = \frac{L^\varepsilon - 1}{L^\varepsilon (-a_1)} = 0(\varepsilon \ln L) > 0 \quad (4.27)$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, sufficiently small, choose the block size L very large but bounded:

$$\left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon^{5/2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} < L < e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/8}}} \quad (4.28)$$

where (see later after 4.31)

$$\bar{\beta} = \beta - \varepsilon > 0$$

In particular (4.28) $\Rightarrow$

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{(\log L)^8}$$

so that

$$\varepsilon |ln\varepsilon| < \bar{g} < C\varepsilon^{7/8} \quad (4.28a)$$

Chose the initial g_o very close to $\bar{g}$:

$$|g_o - \bar{g}| < \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{5/2} \quad (4.29)$$

From (4.25) and

$$\bar{g} = L^\varepsilon \bar{g} (1 + a_1 \bar{g})$$

by taking the difference we can bound (use 4.29)

$$|g_1 - \bar{g}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{5/2} \quad (4.29a)$$

Hence, from (4.29) and (4.29a), we get

$$|\Delta g_o| = |g_1 - g_o| \leq \varepsilon^{5/2} \quad (4.30)$$

We will show in Section V that (4.30) is not only stable under iteration but contractive:

$$|\Delta g_n| \leq k_*^{n-1} |\Delta g_o|$$

with $0 < k_* < 1$, and all subsequent effective couplings g_n lie within an $\varepsilon^{3/2}$ neighbourhood of $\bar{g}$.

We now turn to the irrelevant term I_1 (4.20). I_1 vanishes at $\varphi^2 = 0$, and, by what we have shown for G_1 , is continuous in φ^2 . We claim that it is differentiable and satisfies the uniform bound:

$$\left| \frac{dI_1}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \quad (4.31)$$

where $\bar{\beta} = \beta - \varepsilon > 0$ (for $\varepsilon = 0, \bar{\beta}_c = \beta_c = 2 - D_c = \frac{4}{d+2} > 0$. Hence for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small $\bar{\beta} > 0$ by continuity)

To see this take the derivative of (4.17) term by term and upper bound. Note that the $k = l = 1$ term in 4.17 gives 1 and so does not contribute to I_1 . Also, after

taking the derivative, the φ^2 -dependent terms (exponentials with negative exponents) can be bounded by 1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{dI_1}{d\varphi^2} \right| &\leq L^\varepsilon |g_0| \frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} (1 + L^{-\beta}) \cdot \\ &\cdot \left\{ \sum_{l \geq 2} |\tilde{h}_1(l, g_0)| + (1 + L^{-\beta}) \sum_{k \geq 2} L^{-(k-2)} \cdot \sum_{\substack{l_1 \dots l_k \\ l_j \geq 1}} k^2 |\tilde{h}_k(l, g_0)| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If we now plug in the expression (4.15, 4.13) for $\tilde{h}_k$, we can easily verify that the series in braces $\{\}$ converge for $|g_0| < (\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{-d/2} \ln(1 + L^\alpha)$ and $\{\}$ is $0(g_0)$. We restrict our selves to $\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{5/2}$ neighbourhood of $\bar{g}$ as in (4.29). Then we have:

$$\left| \frac{dI_1}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \frac{C\bar{g}^2}{L^\beta}$$

We use up a factor $\frac{1}{L^{\beta/2}}$ to bound the constant by 1. We then get (4.31). Of course we can get a much stronger bound (as far as the field dependence is concerned), but we will not need it. In fact we shall replace (4.31) by a weaker bound:

$$\left| \frac{dI_1}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (4.32)$$

and by integrating this from 0 to φ^2 , with $I_1(0) = 0$ we get:

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} \varphi^2 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (4.33)$$

The growth in φ^2 is harmless since, from (4.21), I_1 is always multiplied by

$$v_* = \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} |\varphi|^2}$$

and

$$\sup_{\varphi} |v_* I_1| \leq \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}}$$

We will see in Section V that the bound (4.32) is stable under iteration.

We summarize what we have obtained after one iteration in the following Proposition

Proposition 1

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small (4.28) and $\bar{g}$ be defined by (4.27). Hold g_0 so that

$$|g_0 - \bar{g}| \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{5/2}$$

Then after 1 RG iteration

$$v_0 = g_0 v_* \rightarrow v_*(g_1 + I_1)$$

where $I_1(0) = 0$. $I_1(\varphi)$ is C^1 in φ^2 and the following bounds hold:

$$|\Delta g_0| = |g_1 - g_0| \leq \varepsilon^{5/2}$$

$$|\frac{dI_1}{d\varphi^2}| \leq \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

where $\bar{\beta} = \beta - \varepsilon > 0$.

5. Higher iterations and Convergence to non Gaussian fixed point

Let us write, in analogy to what we have obtained after one iteration, the effective potential V_n after the n th RG iteration in the form:

$$v_n(\varphi) = v_*(\varphi)[g_n + I_n(\varphi)] \quad (5.1)$$

where $I_n(0) = 0$, I_n being the irrelevant term. Recall, $v_* = (\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2}|\varphi|^2}$ and define the uniform norm of v_n :

$$\|v_n\| = (\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2} (|g_n| + \|I_n\|_{v_*}) \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$\|I_n\|_{v_*} = \sup_{\varphi} |e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2}|\varphi|^2} I_n(\varphi)|$$

In this section we will prove our main Theorem:

Theorem 1 (Convergence to non-Gaussian fixed point)

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $v_n \rightarrow v_\infty$ in the uniform norms $\|\cdot\|$ where

$$\|v_\infty\| = (\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2} (|g_\infty| + \|I_\infty\|_{v_*})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |g_\infty - \bar{g}| &\leq \varepsilon^{3/2} \\ \|I_\infty\|_{v_*} &\leq \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

provided $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small and the block size L sufficiently large (the precise condition is (4.28) of Section IV) Here,

$$\bar{g} = \frac{L^\varepsilon - 1}{L^\varepsilon(-a_1)} = 0(\varepsilon \ln L) > 0$$

is the approximate fixed point of the first iteration, and

$$\bar{\beta} = \beta - \varepsilon = (2 - D) - \varepsilon > 0 \quad (5.4)$$

(infact for $\varepsilon = 0$, $\bar{\beta}_c = 2 - D_c = 2 - \frac{2d}{d+2} = \frac{4}{d+2} > 0$ and hence, by continuity it follows that $\bar{\beta} > 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ very small).

Note that $g_\infty > 0$ because of (5.3) and (4.28a).

Theorem 1 thus states convergence of the sequence of effective potentials to a non-Gaussian fixed point close to the approximate fixed point of the first iteration.

In order to prove Theorem 1 we shall bound the difference of successive iterations:

$$\Delta v_n = v_{n+1} - v_n = v_*(\Delta g_n + \Delta I_n) \quad (5.5)$$

(our strategy is similar to that of Gawedzki and Kupiainen in [13,14], in a different context)

To this end we shall make an inductive hypothesis (verified for $n = 1$) for the first n -steps of RG iteration. As in section IV, (4.28) choose the block size L very large but bounded and $\varepsilon > 0$ very small such that:

$$\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2}L^{\beta/2})^{2/5}} < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{(\ln L)^8} \quad (5.6)$$

This is easy to fulfill, as the reader can check. Since $\bar{g} = 0(\varepsilon \ln L)$, the righthand inequality assures us that,

$$\bar{g}^2 < C\varepsilon^{7/4} = \varepsilon^{3/2}(C\varepsilon^{1/4}) \quad (5.6a)$$

which is very small. Define:

$$k_* = 1 - \varepsilon \ln L + 10\varepsilon^{3/2} \quad (5.7)$$

Note that,

$$0 < k_* < 1$$

Define also:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon^{5/2} \\ \delta_*(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon^{3/2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

and hold the initial coupling g_0 as in Section IV:

$$|g_0 - \bar{g}| < \frac{1}{4}\delta(\varepsilon)$$

Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g_l &= g_{l+1} - g_l \\ \Delta I_l &= I_{l+1} - I_l \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

* Inductive hypothesis: For the first n -steps of the RG iteration, the effective potential $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ satisfy the following, Property H_n :

For $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & |\Delta g_l| \leq k_*^l \delta(\varepsilon) \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta I_l \right| \leq c^{(l)} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where:

$$c^{(0)} = \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}$$

and for $l \geq 1$:

$$c^{(l)} = \frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{l-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \right)^l \quad (5.11a)$$

Note that for $n = 1$, the inductive hypothesis is satisfied (Proposition 1 of Section IV).

Also remark that Property $H_n \Rightarrow$ the additional properties H_n^1 :

Property H_n^1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ia)} \quad & |g_n - \bar{g}| \leq \varepsilon^{3/2} = \delta_*(\varepsilon) \\ \text{(iia)} \quad & \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} I_n \right| \leq \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \\ \text{(iib)} \quad & |\Delta I_{n-1}| \leq c^{(n-1)} |\varphi|^2 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \\ \text{(iic)} \quad & |I_n| \leq \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} |\varphi|^2 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Start from H_n

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ia), since

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n - \bar{g}| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} |\Delta g_l| + |g_0 - \bar{g}| \leq \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} k_*^l \right) \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \delta(\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - k_*} \varepsilon^{5/2} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{5/2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

Next, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii a), since

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi} I_n \right| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta I_l \right| \leq \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} c^{(l)} \right) e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

and

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} c^{(l)} \leq \frac{\bar{g} \varepsilon^{5/2}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2} (1 - K_*)} + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}$$

Moreover (ii a) $\Rightarrow$ (ii c), (integrate (ii a) with boundary condition $I_n(0) = 0$).

Finally (ii) $\rightarrow$ (ii b) by the same reasoning.

The main job of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 2. Suppose $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ satisfies Property H_n then under RG iteration v_{n+1} satisfies H_{n+1} .

Note that theorem 2 immediately implies Theorem 1:

Proof of Theorem 1 (given Theorem 2).

Since v_1 satisfies H_1 (Section IV, Proposition 1), Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow v_n$ has the property H_n for all n . In particular H_n^1 holds, all n . Since, $0 < k_* < 1$, (i) of $H_n \Rightarrow \{g_n\}$ is a sequence whose increments are absolutely summable, and hence Cauchy and (ia) says that every g_n lies within an $\varepsilon^{3/2}$ ball of center $\bar{g}$. Hence $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$ and

$$|g_\infty - \bar{g}| \leq \varepsilon^{3/2}$$

The decrease of v_* in φ^2 beats the growth allowed in (ii b) and (ii c). From (iic), the I_n are uniformly bounded in the $\|\cdot\|_{v_*}$ norm. $c^{(n-1)}$, see (ii), goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and is summable. Now from (ii b) it follows that the $I_n \rightarrow I_\infty$ in the $\|\cdot\|_{v_*}$ norm, and

$$\|I_\infty\|_{v_*} \leq \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}$$

So Theorem 1 has been proved (given Theorem 2)

The starting point for the proof of Theorem 2 will be the following formulae which give Δv_n through the increments $\Delta g_n, \Delta I_n$.

To this end define:

$$A_n(\varphi) \doteq \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \frac{\langle v_*^l(g_n + I_n)^l \rangle_\varphi}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\Delta B_n(\varphi) \doteq \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{|m| \leq l} \binom{l}{m} \frac{\langle v_*^l(g_{n-1} + I_{n-1})^{l-m} (\Delta g_{n-1} + \Delta I_{n-1})^m \rangle_\varphi}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} \quad (5.14)$$

Then we have: Increment formulae

$$\Delta g_n = L^\varepsilon (1 + L^{-\alpha} v_*(0) A_n(0))^{-1} \Delta B_n(0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta I_n &= L^\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} [(1 + L^{-\alpha} v_* A_n)^{-1} \Delta B_n] \\ \Delta I_n(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Note that the above make sense provided the series (5.13 - 5.14) converge and A_n is sufficiently small. This will be seen to be true presently because of the inductive hypothesis and H_n .

Proof of (5.15). Start from

$$v_n = v_* (g_n + I_n)$$

and explicitly perform the RG iteration $v_n \rightarrow v_{n+1}$. We get (replace $v_0 = g_0 v_*$ in (4.12) of section IV by v_n)

$$v_{n+1}(\varphi) = L^D \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_k} (-1)^{|\underline{l}|-1} c_k(\underline{l}) \prod_{j=1}^k < v_*^{l_j} (g_n + I_n)^{l_j} >_\varphi \quad (*1)$$

and

$$< F >_\varphi = (\mu_\gamma * F)(L^{\beta/2} \varphi)$$

Now write:

$$g_n + I_n = (g_{n-1} + I_{n-1}) + (\Delta g_{n-1} + \Delta I_{n-1})$$

and expand binomially:

$$(g_n + I_n)^{l_j} = \sum_{m_j=0}^{l_j} \binom{l_j}{m_j} (g_{n-1} + I_{n-1})^{l_j-m_j} (\Delta g_{n-1} + \Delta I_{n-1})^{m_j}$$

If we insert this in (* 1) above for each j-factor, then the contribution corresponding to $m_j = 0, j = 1, \dots, k$ gives us back v_n . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_n &= L^D \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{l_1 \dots l_k \\ l_j \geq 1}} (-1)^{|\underline{l}|-1} c_k(\underline{l}) \cdot \\ &\cdot \sum_{\substack{m_1 \dots m_k \\ 0 \leq m_j \leq l_j}}' \prod_{j=1}^k \binom{l_j}{m_j} < v_*^{l_j} (g_{n-1} + I_{n-1})^{l_j-m_j} (\Delta g_{n-1} + \Delta I_{n-1})^{m_j} > \end{aligned} \quad (*2)$$

where $\sum'$ means at least one $m_j \geq 1$. We can write $\sum'$ as

$$\sum' = k \sum_{\substack{m_1 \dots m_{k-1} \\ 0 \leq m_j \leq l_j}} \sum_{1 \leq m_k \leq l_k}$$

by symmetry. Note that

$$kc_k(l) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^k l_j!}$$

from (4.13) of section 4. With these replacements in (*2), divide each j-factor there by $L^{-\alpha}v_*$, and compensate by multiplying within the k-sum by

$$(L^{-\alpha}v_*)^k = L^{-\alpha}v_*(L^{-\alpha}v_*)^{k-1}$$

The $L^{-\alpha}v_*$ can be factored out of (2) altogether, and $L^{D-\alpha}v_* = L^\varepsilon v_*$.

Performing the l_k sum gives ΔB_n . Each l_j sum, for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, gives the identical contribution A_n (the binomial series can be summed up again). We thus get

$$\Delta v_n = L^\varepsilon v_* \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} L^{-\alpha(k-1)} v_*^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1} A_n^{k-1} \right) \Delta B_n$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_n &= L^\varepsilon v_* (1 + L^{-\alpha} v_* A_n)^{-1} \Delta B_n \quad (*3) \\ &= v_* [L^\varepsilon (1 + L^{-\alpha} v_*(0) A_n(0))^{-1} \Delta B_n(0) + \\ &\quad + \{L^\varepsilon (1 + L^{-\alpha} v_* A_n)^{-1} \Delta B_n - (\text{same at } \varphi = 0)\}] \\ &= v_* [\Delta g_n + \Delta I_n] \end{aligned}$$

Formula (5.15) has been proved.

We have to give bounds on various quantities appearing on the RHS of the formulae given by (5.15) expressing the increments $\Delta g_n, \Delta I_n$. To obtain these bounds we shall make repeated use of the following Proposition 2, whose proof is given in the appendix A. This proposition gives a priori bounds on RG integrals of the type we encounter.

Proposition 2.

Let $1 \leq q \leq l$ and $F(\varphi)$ a μ_γ integrable C^1 function of φ^2 , satisfying

$$F(0) = 0$$

and

$$\left| \frac{dF}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq c_1 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (5.16)$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant.

Then

$$\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle_\varphi = (\mu_\gamma * (v_*^l F))(L^{\beta/2} \varphi)$$

is C^1 in φ^2 , and there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$, independent of L , such that:

$$(i) \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| \leq c_1^q c_2 L^{-\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (5.17)$$

$$(ii) \quad \left| \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right|_{\varphi=0} \leq c_1^q c_2 \quad (5.18)$$

$$(iii) \quad \left| \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right| \leq c_1^q c_2 [L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1] \quad (5.19)$$

Remark. There is a trivial generalization of this proposition where we consider two functions F and G with above properties with d_1 appearing in (5.16), instead of c_1 , for G . Instead of F^q , take $F^{q_1} G^{q_2}$, $1 \leq q_1 + q_2 \leq l$. Then (i) - (iii) continue to hold with the replacement $c_1^q \rightarrow c_1^{q_1} d_1^{q_2}$, and with the same constant c_2 independent of L .

Proof of Proposition 2.

This follows from Lemmas 1-6 in the appendix A.

Define now:

$$F_{n,l,m,s}(\varphi) \doteq \frac{\langle v_*^l (g_{n-1} + I_{n-1})^{l-m} (\Delta I)_{n-1}^s \rangle_\varphi}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} \quad (5.20)$$

for $1 \leq m \leq l$, $0 \leq s \leq m$.

These objects will obviously be encountered in bounding increments (5.15), (see (5.14)). Bounds on them are provided by the following Proposition 3, which follows immediately from Proposition 2 and Property H_n of the inductive hypothesis.

Proposition 3:

Assume the inductive hypothesis with Property H_n .

Then there exists a constant $c_3 = 1 + 0(\varepsilon^{1/2})$, such that

$$(i) \quad \left| \frac{dF_{n,l,m,s}}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \frac{c_2}{L^\beta} (c^{(n-1)})^s (c_3 |\bar{g}|)^{(l-m)} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (5.21)$$

$$(ii) \quad |F_{n,l,m,s}| \leq c_2 (c^{(n-1)})^s (c_3 |\bar{g}|)^{l-m} \left[\frac{\varphi^2}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1 \right] \quad (5.22)$$

Here c_2 , independent of L , is the constant of Proposition 2.

Proof.

$$\left| \frac{dF_{n,l,m,s}}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{l-m} \binom{l-m}{p} |g_{n-1}|^p \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \frac{\langle v_*^l I_{n-1}^{(l-m)-p} (\Delta I_{n-1})^s \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right| \quad (*)$$

From part (i) of Proposition 2, (5.17) together with the remark following it, and part (ii) of Property H_n (5.11), (ii a) of H'_n (5.12), the derivative term is bounded by:

$$\frac{c_2}{L^\beta} (c^{(n-1)})^s \left(\frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} \right)^{(l-m)-p} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

So the $R \cdot H \cdot S$ of $(*)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{c_2}{L^\beta} (c^{(n-1)})^s (|g_{n-1}| + \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}})^{(l-m)} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (**)$$

From part (ia) of H'_n (5.12),

$$|g_{n-1}| \leq |\bar{g}| + \varepsilon^{3/2}$$

Hence,

$$|g_{n-1}| + \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} \leq c_3 |\bar{g}|$$

where $c_3 = 1 + 0(\varepsilon^{1/2})$, since $\bar{g}$ is $0(\varepsilon)$.

Part (ii) of Proposition 3 now follows.

Part (ii) follows in the same way, using part (iii) of Proposition 2, (5.19).

Proposition 3 has been proved.

Using Propositions 2 and 3 and property H_n of the inductive hypothesis, we obtain easily bounds on A_n and ΔB_n and its derivatives (appearing in (5.15)) summarized in the following proposition 4, whose proof is relegated to Appendix B.

Proposition 4

$$|(1 + L^{-\alpha} v_*(0) A_n(0))^{-1}| \leq 1 + L^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} \bar{g} + 3\varepsilon^{3/2} \quad (5.23)$$

$$\left| \frac{dA_n}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \frac{2c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}|}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (5.24)$$

$$|A_n| \leq 2c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}| \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1 \right) \quad (5.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta B_n(0)| &\leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) [1 - \bar{g} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi} \right)^{d/2} (1 + \frac{1}{2} L^{-\beta}) \\ &\quad + c' \frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} + 5\varepsilon^{3/2}] \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

$$L^\varepsilon \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta B_n \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\beta/2}} \right)^n \right] \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (5.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta B_n| &\leq c [\bar{g} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) (1 + \frac{1}{k_* L^{\beta/2}}) + \bar{g}^2 \left(\frac{1}{L^{\beta/2}} \right)^n] \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

The bounds proven in Proposition 4 will now enable us to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2

Claim 1

$$|\Delta g_n| \cdot \leq k_*^n \delta(\varepsilon)$$

This is part (i) of property H_{n+1} see (5.11).

Proof: From (5.15), and the bounds (5.23, 5.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta g_n| &\leq L^\varepsilon |(1 + L^{-\alpha} v_*(0) A_n(0))^{-1}| |\Delta B_n(0)| \\ &\leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) L^\varepsilon [1 - \bar{g} (\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi})^{d/2} (1 + \frac{1}{2} L^{-\beta}) + \frac{c' \bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} + 5\varepsilon^{3/2}] \\ &\quad (1 + L^{-\alpha} (\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2} \bar{g} + 3\varepsilon^{3/2}) \\ &\leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) \{ L^\varepsilon [1 - \bar{g} (\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi})^{d/2} (1 + \frac{1}{2} L^{-\beta} - 2^{d/2} L^{-\alpha}) + 9\varepsilon^{3/2}] + \frac{L^\varepsilon c' \bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} \} \end{aligned}$$

(we have used the fact, see 5.6a), that

$$\bar{g}^2 < (C\varepsilon^{1/4})\varepsilon^{3/2}$$

Thus

$$|\Delta g_n| \leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) \{ L^\varepsilon [1 - (-2a_1) \bar{g} + 9\varepsilon^{3/2}] + \frac{L^\varepsilon c' \bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} \}$$

where a_1 is given by (4.25) of section IV. From the definition of the approximate fixed point $\bar{g}$, see (4.27) of Section IV:

$$\bar{g} = \frac{L^\varepsilon - 1}{L^\varepsilon (-a_1)}$$

we get

$$L^\varepsilon (1 - (-2a_1) \bar{g}) = 2 - L^\varepsilon \leq 1 - \varepsilon \ln L \quad (i)$$

Also, (see, (5.6))

$$L^\varepsilon \leq 1 + C\varepsilon^{7/8}$$

$$L^{-\beta/2} \leq \varepsilon^{5/2}$$

and

$$\bar{g} < C\varepsilon^{7/8}$$

so that

$$L^\varepsilon (9\varepsilon^{3/2} + \frac{c' \bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}}) < 10\varepsilon^{3/2} \quad (ii)$$

Using (i), (ii) in (*) above,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta g_n| &\leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) \{1 - \varepsilon \ln L + 10\varepsilon^{3/2}\} \\ &\leq k_*^n \delta(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the definition (5.7). Claim 1 has been proved.

Claim 2

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi} \Delta I_n \right| &\leq c^{(n)} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \\ c^{(n)} &= \frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \bar{g}^2 \left(\frac{1}{L^{\beta/2}} \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

This is part (ii) of Property H_{n+1} (see 5.11)

Proof: Carrying out the derivative of the right hand side of the equation giving $\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta I_n$ in (5.15) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta I_n \right| &\leq (1 - L^{-\alpha} v_* |A_n|)^{-1} L^\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta B_n + \\ &\quad + \left\{ (1 - L^{-\alpha} v_* |A_n|)^{-2} L^\varepsilon L^{-\alpha} \left(\left| \frac{dv_*}{d\varphi^2} A_n \right| + v_* \left| \frac{dA_n}{d\varphi^2} \right| \right) |\Delta B_n| \right\} \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha \geq \beta$, for $d \geq 2$

From

$$\begin{aligned} v_* &= \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} |\varphi|^2} \\ \frac{dv_*}{d\varphi^2} &= -\frac{\lambda_*}{2} v_* \end{aligned}$$

and the bounds (5.24, 5.25) on A_n and its derivative, we easily derive

$$L^{-\alpha} v_* \left| \frac{dA_n}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \frac{2c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}|}{L^{2\beta}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{4} |\varphi|^2} \quad (iii)$$

$$L^{-\alpha} \left| \frac{dv_*}{d\varphi^2} A_n \right| \leq \frac{8c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}|}{L^\beta} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{4} |\varphi|^2} \quad (iv)$$

$$L^{-\alpha} v_* |A_n| \leq \frac{4c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}|}{L^\beta} \quad (v)$$

Now we bound the various terms in (**) above.

1 Using (v) above and the bound (5.38) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &|(1 - L^{-\alpha} v_* |A_n|)^{-1} L^\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta B_n| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{4c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}|}{L^\beta} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\beta/2}} \right)^n \right] e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \right)^n \right] e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

2 Next using (iii) and (iv) above, together with the bound (5.39) on ΔB_n gives for the term in braces $\{\}$ in () above:

$$|\{\}| \leq \left(1 - \frac{4c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}|}{L^{\bar{\beta}}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}}}\right) (10c_2 c_3 \bar{g}) e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{4} |\varphi|^2} \cdot 2c \left(k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) \bar{g} + \bar{g}^2 \left(\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}\right)^n\right) \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}}} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1\right) \quad (***)$$

We can trivially bound:

$$e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{4} |\varphi|^2} \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}}} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1\right) \leq c' e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

We plug this into (**). Then from the overall factor $\left(\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}}}\right)$ in (**) we use up $\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}$ to bound all unnecessary constants by 1/2. We then get

$$|\{\}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}\right)^n \right] e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

Putting together this bound, together with that in 1) above we get from (**)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} |\Delta I_n| \right| &\leq \left[\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}\right)^n \right] e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \\ &= c^{(n)} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Claim 2 has been proved

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

As shown earlier Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1, and thus the scaling limit, in the hierarchical approximation, and convergence to a non-Gaussian fixed point has been proved.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dominique Mouhanna for bringing to our attention the work of David, Duplantier and Gutter. One of us (PKM) thanks David Brydges and Kristof Gawedzki for helpful conversations on the Renormalization Group. In particular, he thanks Krystof Gawedzki for discussions related to the subject of this paper. He thanks G.Jona-Lasinio and the Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma - La Sapienza, where part of this work was done, for hospitality.

The other (M.C.) thanks the CNRS for support which made his visit to Paris possible and the Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies, Université Paris VI, for hospitality.

Appendix A

This appendix is devoted to the proof of Proposition 2 of Section 5. First we state a useful representation. Let $F(\varphi)$ be a μ_γ -integrable C^1 function of φ^2 .

$$\langle v_* F \rangle_\varphi \doteq (\mu_\gamma * (v_* F))(L^{\beta/2} \varphi)$$

Also, from Section IV and Gaussian integration

$$\langle v_* \rangle_\varphi = L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle_\varphi}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} = \frac{\int \frac{d^d \zeta}{(2\pi\gamma)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\gamma} |\zeta - L^{\beta/2} \varphi|^2} v_*(\zeta) F(\zeta)}{\int \frac{d^d \zeta}{(2\pi\gamma)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\gamma} |\zeta - L^{\beta/2} \varphi|^2} v_*(\zeta)}$$

we can absorb,

$$v_*(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} |\zeta|^2}$$

into the measure $d\mu_\gamma(\zeta)$ to obtain a new convolution kernel.

Infact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^d \zeta}{(2\pi\gamma)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\gamma} |\zeta - L^{\beta/2} \varphi|^2} v_*(\zeta) \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \gamma\lambda_*)^{d/2}} \frac{d^d \zeta}{(2\pi\sigma)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma} (\zeta - L^{-\beta/2} \varphi)^2} \\ & \quad \cdot e^{-\frac{(1 - L^{-\beta})|\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + \lambda_*\right)^{-1}$$

and we have used (Section IV), $1 + \gamma\lambda_* = L^\beta$.

We therefore obtain:

$$\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle_{(\varphi)}}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} = \int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta - L^{-\beta/2} \varphi) F(\zeta) \tag{A1}$$

where μ_σ is the Gaussian measure of covariance

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + \lambda_*\right)^{-1} = \frac{\gamma}{L^\beta} = o(1)$$

The representation (A1) will be used in the following.

Lemma 1

Let $F(\varphi)$ be a μ_σ integrable C^1 function of φ^2 . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} L^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{(L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2)^j}{\sigma^{2j}} \\ & \quad \cdot \int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) [(\zeta_1^2)^j + \frac{(\zeta_1^2)^{j+1}}{(2j+1)\sigma}] F(\zeta) \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

Proof: By invariance of F and the measure, the $L \cdot H \cdot S \cdot$ of (A1) is a function of φ^2 .

Apply

$$\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} = \frac{1}{2|\varphi|^2} \vec{\varphi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\varphi}}$$

to the integral kernel in (A1). We then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) &= \frac{1}{2\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{|\varphi|^2} \int \frac{d^d \zeta}{(2\pi\sigma)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{(\zeta - L^{-\beta/2} \varphi)^2}{2\sigma}} L^{-\beta/2} \vec{\varphi} \cdot \vec{\zeta} F(\zeta) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - L^{-\beta} \int \frac{d^d \zeta}{(2\pi\sigma)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{(\zeta - L^{-\beta/2} \varphi)^2}{2\sigma}} F(\zeta) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

Since $\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*}$ is an inv. function of φ^2 , we can choose coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= (\varphi_1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad |\varphi|^2 = \varphi^2 \\ e^{-\frac{(\zeta - L^{-\beta/2} \varphi)^2}{2\sigma}} &= e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} e^{-\frac{|\zeta|^2}{2\sigma}} e^{L^{-\beta/2} \frac{\varphi_1 \zeta_1}{\sigma}} \\ &= e^{\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{L^{-\beta/2} \varphi_1}{\sigma} \right)^j e^{-\frac{|\zeta|^2}{2\sigma}} \zeta_1^j \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Plugging (*) in to (3) we get:

$$\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) = \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} (J_1 - J_2) \quad (A4)$$

where

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{|\varphi|^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{1}{\sigma^j} (L^{-\beta/2} \varphi_1)^{j+1} \int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) \zeta_1^{j+1} F(\zeta)$$

Since F is inv. function, and μ_σ is even in ζ_1 , only odd $j \geq 1$ contribute. So with $j \rightarrow 2j+1$,

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{|\varphi|^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j+1)!} \frac{1}{\sigma^{2j+1}} (L^{-\beta/2} \varphi_1)^{2j+2} \int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) \zeta_1^{2j+1} F(\zeta)$$

$$= L^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{(L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2)^j}{\sigma^{2j}} \int d\mu_{\sigma}(\zeta) \frac{(\zeta_1^2)^{j+1}}{\sigma(2j+1)} F(\zeta) \quad (A5)$$

whereas,

$$J_2 = L^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{L^{-\beta/2}\varphi_1}{\sigma} \right)^j \int d\mu_{\sigma}(\zeta) \zeta_1^j F(\zeta)$$

Only even j contribute, so $j \rightarrow 2j$

$$= L^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{(L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2)^j}{\sigma^{2j}} \int d\mu_{\sigma}(\zeta) (\zeta_1^2)^j F(\zeta) \quad (A6)$$

Hence, from (A4), (A5), (A6) and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} (vert J_1| + |J_2|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} L^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{(L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2)^j}{\sigma^{2j}} \\ &\quad \cdot \int d\mu_{\sigma}(\zeta) [(\zeta_1^2)^j + \frac{(\zeta_1^2)^{j+1}}{(2j+1)\sigma}] |F(\zeta)| \end{aligned} \quad (A7)$$

Thus Lemma 1 has been proved

Remark: Lemma 1 will now be applied in the following pages to special choices of the function F .

Lemma 2 Assume: $F(\varphi)$ is a μ_{γ} integrable C^1 function of $\varphi^2 = |\varphi|^2$, $F(0) = 0$ and

$$\left| \frac{dF}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq c_1 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (A8*)$$

Then,

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| \leq c_1 c_2 L^{-\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad (A8**)$$

for some constant $c_2 > 1$, c_2 is indept. of L

Proof: We shall use Lemma 1. Note that, from (A8*),

$$|F| \leq c_1 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_*} L^{\beta} \right) (e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} - 1) \quad (A9*)$$

Hence, from Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| &\leq \frac{c_1}{2\sigma} L^{-\beta} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_*} \right) e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} L^{\beta} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{(L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2)^j}{\sigma^{2j}} \left[(f(1, j) + \frac{f(1, j+1)}{(2j+1)\sigma}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (f(0, j) + \frac{f(0, j+1)}{(2j+1)\sigma}) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (A10)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\alpha, j) &= \int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2}\alpha L^{-\beta}|\zeta|^2} (\zeta_1^2)^j \\
&= \int \frac{d^d \zeta}{(2\pi\sigma)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})|\zeta|^2} (\zeta_1^2)^j \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})^{d/2}} \cdot \frac{\sigma^j}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})^j} (2j-1)!! \\
(2j-1)!! &= \frac{(2j)!}{j!2^j} = (2j-1)(2j-3)\dots 1
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

Using, $\frac{(2(j+1)-1)!!}{(2j+1)} = (2j-1)!!$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\alpha, j) + \frac{f(\alpha, j+1)}{(2j+1)\sigma} &= \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})^{d/2}} \frac{\sigma^j}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})^j} \cdot \frac{(2j)!}{j!2^j} \\
&\quad \cdot \left[1 + \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})}\right] \\
&\quad \left[f(\alpha, j) + \frac{f(\alpha, j+1)}{(2j+1)\sigma}\right] - [\dots]_{\alpha=0} \\
&= \frac{(2j)!}{j!2^j} \cdot \sigma^j \left[\frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})^{d/2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})}\right) \left(\frac{1}{(1+\frac{\lambda_*\alpha}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})^j}\right) - 2 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

Hence the infinite sum in (A10)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \dots \right\} &= e^{\frac{L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2}{2\sigma(1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})^{d/2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})}\right) \\
&\quad - 2 e^{\frac{L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}}
\end{aligned} \tag{A13}$$

We easily have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})} &\leq 1 + 2\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta} \\
1 + \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})} &\leq 2(1 + \frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 - \frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta}} = -\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta} \frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta}}$$

satisfies:

$$-2\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta}} \leq -\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta}$$

Hence from (A13)

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \dots \right\} \leq 2 e^{\frac{L^{-\beta}|\varphi|^2}{2\sigma(1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta})}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{4\lambda_*}{\sigma}L^{-\beta}\right) - e^{\frac{-\lambda_*}{\sigma^2}L^{-2\beta}|\varphi|^2} \right]$$

and, since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma^2} L^{-2\beta} |\varphi|^2} &\leq \frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma^2} L^{-2\beta} |\varphi|^2 \\ &\leq L^{-\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) e^{\delta \frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma^2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned}$$

where δ has to be chosen.

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \dots \right\} \leq 2L^{-\beta} e^{\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma(1-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma} L^{-\beta})}} \left[4\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\delta} e^{\delta \frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma^2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \right] \quad (A(14))$$

Plugging the bound (A14) in (A10) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{2\sigma} L^{-\beta} \frac{2}{\lambda_*} \cdot (2) e^{\frac{(\lambda_*) L^{-2\beta}}{\sigma^2} |\varphi|^2} \left[4\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\delta} e^{\delta \frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma^2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2c_1}{(\lambda_*)\sigma} L^{-\beta} \left[4\left(\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \left(2\frac{\delta^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2L^{-\beta}}{\sigma^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Choose: $\delta = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{4}$. so that for L, suff. large since $\sigma = 0(1)$

$$\left(\frac{2\delta}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2L^{-\beta}}{\sigma^2} \right) = 1 - 1/2 + \frac{2L^{-\beta}}{\sigma^2} < 1$$

and hence

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2c_1}{(\lambda_*)\sigma} 4\left(\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \cdot L^{-\beta}$$

Choose $c_2 = \frac{8}{\sigma^2} (1 + \frac{1}{\sigma\lambda_*}) > 1$

Then:

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_* F \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| \leq c_1 c_2 L^{-\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

and Lemma 2 has been proved.

Lemma 3

For $1 \leq q \leq l$, $l \geq 2$

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right| \leq c_1^q L^{-\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad A(15*)$$

where F satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2

Proof

Writing,

$$\frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} = \frac{\langle v_* (v_*^{l-1} F^q) \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*}$$

apply Lemma 1, choosing for F , $(v_*^{l-1}F^q)$ Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} L^{-\beta}. \\ \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{(L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2)^j}{\sigma^{2j}} \int d\mu_{\sigma}(\zeta) [(\zeta_1^2)^j + \frac{(\zeta_1^2)^{j+1}}{\sigma(2j+1)}] |(v_*^{l-1} F^q)(\zeta)| \\ v_*^{l-1} &= \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{(l-1)\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2}(l-1)|\varphi|^2} \end{aligned} \quad A(16)$$

From (A9*), in the bound on $F(\zeta)$ following the hypothesis of Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\varphi)| &\leq c_1 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_*} L^{\beta} \right) e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} (1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}) \\ &\leq c_1 \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} \cdot |\varphi|^2} \\ &\leq c_1 \cdot \frac{2}{\lambda_* \delta} \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} (\delta + L^{-\beta}) |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned}$$

where δ is to chosen, $\delta > 0$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |v_*^{l-1}(\zeta) F^q(\zeta)| &\leq c_1^q \left[\frac{2}{\lambda_* \delta} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{(l-1)\frac{d}{2}} \right]^q \\ &\quad e^{-\frac{\lambda_*}{2} [(l-1) - q(\delta + L^{-\beta})] |\zeta|^2} \end{aligned} \quad A(17)$$

Choose:

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} - L^{-\beta} \quad A(18)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (l-1) - q(\delta + L^{-\beta}) &\geq (l-1) - l(\delta + L^{-\beta}) \text{ since } q \leq l \\ &= l \left[1 - \frac{1}{l} - \delta - L^{-\beta} \right] \\ &= l \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{l} \right] \geq 0, \text{ since } l \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(l-1) - q(\delta + L^{-\beta}) \geq 0$ Using this in (A17), get:

$$|v_*^{l-1}(\zeta) F^q(\zeta)| \leq c_1^q \left[\frac{4}{\lambda_* (1 - 2L^{-\beta})} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \right]^q \quad A(19)$$

(have used $0 < \lambda_* \leq 1$, as is easy to show).

Using the bound (A19) we have from (A16)

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}} L^{-\beta} c_1^q \left[\frac{4 \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\lambda_* (1 - 2L^{-\beta})} \right]^q.$$

$$\cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{(L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2)^j}{\sigma^{2j}} \int d\mu_{\sigma}(\zeta) [(\zeta_1^2)^j \frac{(\zeta_1^2)^{j+1}}{(2j+1)^{\sigma}}] \right\} \quad A(20)$$

The integral is computed as in the proof of Lemma 2, and we get:

$$2 \cdot \frac{(2j)!}{j^{2j}} \sigma^j$$

so that the sum

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{\} = 2e^{\frac{L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}{2\sigma}}$$

We then get from (A20)

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right| \leq c_1^q L^{-\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[\frac{4(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi})^{d/2}}{\lambda_*(1-2L^{-\beta})} \right]^q \right\}$$

Look at the constant in $\{\}$. Since $0 < \lambda_* \leq 1, d \geq 3$

$$\{\} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[\frac{4}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-2L^{-\beta})} \right]^q \leq 1 \quad (*)$$

.

$$1 \leq q \leq l$$

since, $\sigma = \frac{\gamma}{L^{\beta}} = 2^{\beta/2} \frac{(1-L^{-\beta})}{\beta}$, (and this is regular as $\beta \rightarrow 0$). Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right| &\leq c_1^q L^{-\beta} \\ &\leq c_1^q L^{-\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned} \quad A(21)$$

and Lemma 3 has been proved.

Remark.

We can collect Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 into a single Lemma.

Lemma 4

Let $1 \leq q \leq l$, and $F(\varphi)$ a μ_{γ} integrable C^1 function in φ^2 , satisfying the bound

$$\left| \frac{dF}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq c_1 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} |\varphi|^2} \quad (*)$$

Then $\exists c_2 > 1$, independent of L , such that

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \left(\frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right) \right| \leq c_1^q c_2 L^{-\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad A(22)$$

Proof This follows from Lemmas 3 and 4.

We need two further elementary bounds.

Lemma 5

Let F satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2, which are the same in Lemmas 2-4, Then, for $1 \leq q \leq l$, there exists a constant c_2 independent of L such that

$$\left| \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle_\varphi}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} \right|_{\varphi=0} \leq c_1^q c_2 \quad A(23)$$

Proof

$$\left| \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle_\varphi}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} \right|_{\varphi=0} \leq \int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) v_*^{l-1}(\zeta) |F^q(\zeta)|$$

(i) $l = q = 1$. Then, using (A9*),

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) |F(\zeta)| &\leq c_1 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_*} L^\beta \right) \left[\left(\int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\zeta|^2} \right) - 1 \right] \\ &= c_1 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_*} L^\beta \right) \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma} L^{-\beta} \right)^{d/2} - 1 \right] \\ &\leq c_1 c_2 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $1 \leq q \leq l, l \geq 2$. use (A19) and (*), between (A20) and (A21), to get

$$\int d\mu_\sigma(\zeta) |v_*^{l-1} F^q(\zeta)| \leq c_1^q c_2$$

Putting (i) and (ii) together we get (A23). Lemma 5 has been proved.

Finally integrate (A22) from 0 to φ^2 and use (A23) to obtain:

Lemma 6

$$\left| \frac{\langle v_*^l F^q \rangle_\varphi}{L^{-\alpha} v_*(\varphi)} \right| \leq c_1^q c_2 [L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1] \quad A(24)$$

Lemma 4,5,6 take care of Proposition 2.

Proposition 2 has been proved. The remark after Proposition 2 is a trivial extension of the above.

Appendix B

Proof of Proposition 4

1. Bounds on A_n and derivatives

Starting from (5.13) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(0) &= - \frac{\langle V_*(g_n + I_n) \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \Big|_{\varphi=0} + R_1 \\ &= -g_n - \frac{\langle v_* I_n \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \Big|_{\varphi=0} + R_1 \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$R_1 = \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \frac{\langle v_*^l (g_n + I_n)^l \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \Big|_{\varphi=0}$$

Hence,

$$|A_n(0) + g_n| \leq \left| \frac{\langle v_* I_n \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right|_{\varphi=0} + |R_1|$$

The first term on $R \cdot H \cdot S$ is bounded above by:

$$c_2 \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}$$

(use part (ii), 5.18, of Proposition 2 and (ii a) of property H_n^1 (5.12)).

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |R_1| &\leq \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} |g_n|^{l-m} \left| \frac{\langle v_*^l I_n^m \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right|_{\varphi=0} \\ &\leq \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} |g_n|^{l-m} c_2 \left(\frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} \right)^m \\ &= c_2 \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{l!} (|g_n| + \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}})^l \end{aligned}$$

Now the serie converges. Use (ia) of property H_n^1 (5.12) to deduce:

$$|R_1| \leq 2c_2 \bar{g}^2$$

Hence,

$$|A_n(0) + g_n| \leq 6c_2 \bar{g}^2$$

so, using (ia) of H'_n , get

$$-\bar{g} - 2\varepsilon^{3/2} \leq A_n(0) \leq -\bar{g} + 2\varepsilon^{3/2}$$

Finally, from above and

$$(1 + L^{-\alpha} v_* A_n)^{-1} = 1 - L^{-\alpha} v_* A_n + \frac{(L^{-\alpha} v_* A_n)^2}{1 + L^{-\alpha} v_* A_n}$$

deduce,

$$|(1 + L^{-\alpha} v_*(0) A_n(0))^{-1}| \leq 1 + L^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} \bar{g} + 3\varepsilon^{3/2} \quad B(1)$$

(B1) is just (5.23).

Next we bound: $\frac{dAn}{d\varphi^2}$. Starting from (5.13)

$$\left| \frac{dAn}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} |g_n|^{l-m} \left| \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \frac{\langle v_*^l I_n^m \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \right|$$

Use proposition 2 and (ii a), 5.12, of property H'_n , by the inductive hypothesis. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{dAn}{d\varphi^2} \right| &\leq \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} |g_n|^{l-m} \frac{c_2}{L^\beta} \left(\frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} \right)^l e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} = \\ &\frac{c_2}{L^\beta} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l!} (|g_n| + \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}})^l e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \end{aligned}$$

The series converges. Now use (ia) of H'_n , 5.12, to deduce

$$\left| \frac{dAn}{d\varphi^2} \right| \leq \frac{2c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}|}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} \varphi^2} \quad B(2)$$

Finally, using part (iii) of Proposition 2, (5.19), and H'_n we get in the same way:

$$|A_n| \leq 2c_2 c_3 |\bar{g}| \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1 \right) \quad B(3)$$

(B2) and (B3) are (5.24) and (5.25) respectively.

2. Bounds on ΔB_n and derivatives

In (5.14) we make the binomial expansion:

$$(\Delta g_{n-1} + \Delta I_{n-1})^m = \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{m}{s} (\Delta g_{n-1})^{m-s} (\Delta I_{n-1})^s \quad B(4)$$

to obtain:

$$\Delta B_n = \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq l} \binom{l}{m} \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{m}{s} (\Delta g_{n-1})^{m-s} F_{n,l,m,s}$$

where $F_{n,l,m,s}$ is given by (5.20).

We separate out the contribution of the terms with $s = 0$, (called ΔC_n), and the contribution $s \geq 1$, (called ΔD_n). ΔD_n has thus at least one irrelevant term ΔI_{n-1} .

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta C_n &= (\Delta g_{n-1}) \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq l} \binom{l}{m} (\Delta g_{n-1})^{m-1} F_{n,l,m,0} \\ &= (\Delta g_{n-1}) \left[\sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{(l-1)!} F_{n,l,1,0} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\Delta g_{n-1}) \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq l} \binom{l}{m} (\Delta g_{n-1})^{m-2} F_{n,l,m,0} \right] \quad B(5) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta D_n = \sum_{l \leq 1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq l} \binom{l}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m \binom{m}{s} (\Delta g_{n-1})^{m-s} F_{n,l,m,s} \quad B(6)$$

and

$$\Delta B_n = \Delta C_n + \Delta D_n \quad B(7)$$

First we give a bound on $\Delta C_n(0)$, which we write (see B 5),

$$\Delta C_n(0) = (\Delta g_{n-1})[1 - F_{n,2,1,0}(0) + R_2 + R_3]$$

where

$$R_2 = \sum_{l \geq 3} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{(l-1)!} F_{n,l,1,0}(0)$$

$$R_3 = \Delta g_{n-1} \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq l} \binom{l}{m} (\Delta g_{n-1})^{m-2} F_{n,l,m,0}(0)$$

Now,

$$F_{n,2,1,0}(0) = \frac{\langle v_*^2(g_{n-1} + I_{n-1}) \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \Big|_{\varphi=0}$$

$$= g_{n-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi} \right)^{d/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} L^{-\beta} \right) + \frac{\langle v_*^2 I_{n-1} \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \Big|_{\varphi=0}$$

From the inductive hypothesis and (i a) of H'_n (5.12),

$$|g_{n-1} - \bar{g}| \leq \varepsilon^{3/2}$$

From (ii a) of H'_n and part (i), 5.17, of Proposition 2,

$$\left| \frac{\langle v_*^2 I_{n-1} \rangle}{L^{-\alpha} v_*} \Big|_{\varphi=0} \right| \leq c_2 \frac{4\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}$$

Hence

$$|1 - F_{n,2,1,0}(0)| \leq 1 - \bar{g} \left(\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi} \right)^{d/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} L^{-\beta} \right) + 2\varepsilon^{3/2}$$

We have from part (ii), (5.22), of Proposition 3,

$$|R_2| \leq \sum_{l \geq 3} \frac{1}{(l-1)!} |F_{n,l,1,0}(0)|$$

$$\leq c_2 \sum_{l \geq 3} \frac{1}{(l-1)!} (c_3 |\bar{g}|)^{l-1} \leq 2c_2 (c_3 \bar{g})^2$$

$$\leq 2\varepsilon^{3/2}$$

since the series converges.

Next, always using Proposition 3, and part (i), (5.11), of property H_n of inductive hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_3| &\leq |\Delta g_{n-1}| \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq l} \binom{l}{m} |\Delta g_{n-1}|^{m-2} |F_{n,l,n,0}(0)| \\
&\leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon) \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=2}^l \binom{l}{m} (k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon))^{m-2} (c_3 |\bar{g}|)^{l-m} \\
&\leq 2k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon) \\
&\leq \epsilon^{3/2}
\end{aligned}$$

since the series converges. Using the above bounds we get:

$$|\Delta C_n(0)| \leq |\Delta g_{n-1}| [|1 - F_{n,2,1,0}^{(0)}| + |R_2| + |R_3|]$$

or

$$|\Delta C_n(0)| \leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon) [1 - \bar{g}(\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi})^{d/2} (1 + \frac{1}{2} L^{-\beta}) + 5\epsilon^{3/2}] \quad B(8)$$

Next we bound the derivative of ΔC_n .

Starting from (B5),

$$\begin{aligned}
|\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta C_n| &\leq |\Delta g_{n-1}| [\sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{(l-1)!} |\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} F_{n,l,1,0}| + \\
&+ |\Delta g_{n-1}| \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=2}^l \binom{l}{m} |\Delta g_{n-1}|^{m-2} \\
&\cdot |\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} F_{n,l,m,0}|]
\end{aligned}$$

Now use part (i), (5.21), of Proposition 3 and from inductive hypothesis part (i) of H_n , (5.11), to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta C_n| &\leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon) \frac{c_2}{L^\beta} [\sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{(l-1)!} (c_3 \bar{g})^{l-1} + \\
&+ k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon) \sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=2}^l \binom{l}{m} (k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon))^{m-2} \\
&\cdot (c_3 \bar{g}^{l-m}) \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \\
&\leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon) \frac{c_2}{L^\beta} c_3 \bar{g} [(1 + 0(\bar{g})) + k_*^{n-1} \delta(\epsilon) (1 + 0(\bar{g}))] \cdot \\
&\cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}
\end{aligned}$$

since the series converges. Hence,

$$|\frac{d}{d\varphi} \Delta C_n| \leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) \frac{c_2 c_3 \bar{g}}{L^\beta} (1 + \varepsilon^{1/2})^2 e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad B(9)$$

similarly using part (ii) of Proposition 3 and H_n ,

$$|\Delta C_n| \leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) c_2 c_3 \bar{g} (1 + \varepsilon^{1/2})^2 [\frac{\varphi^2}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1] \quad B(10)$$

Next we shall bound ΔD_n and its derivative. Starting from (B6), and using part (i) of Proposition 3, (5.21) and part (i) of H_n , (5.11), we get:

$$|\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta D_n| \leq \frac{c_2}{L^\beta} [\sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq l} \binom{l}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m \binom{m}{s} (c^{(n-1)})^s (c_3 \bar{g})^{l-m}] \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2}$$

The series converges, and we deduce:

$$|\frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta D_n| \leq \frac{c_2}{L^\beta} \cdot c^{(n-1)} c e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad B(11)$$

In the same way, using part (ii) of Proposition 3 and part (i) of H_n we get:

$$|\Delta D_n| \leq c_2 c^{(n-1)} c [\frac{\varphi^2}{L^\beta} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1] \quad B(12)$$

whence,

$$|\Delta D_n(0)| \leq c c_2 c^{(n-1)} \quad B(13)$$

Now recall, (5.11 a) of H_n ,

$$c^{(n-1)} = \frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} k_*^{n-2} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}} (\frac{1}{L^{\beta/2}})^{n-1}$$

Because of (5.6),

$$\frac{1}{L^{\beta/2}} < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{5/2} = \frac{1}{2} \delta(\varepsilon) < k_* \delta(\varepsilon)$$

Hence,

$$c^{(n-1)} \leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) [\frac{\bar{g}}{k_* L^{\beta/2}} + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\beta/2}}]$$

Hence, from (B 13),

$$|\Delta D_n(0)| \leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) [\frac{c' \bar{g}}{L^{\beta/2}} + \bar{g}^2] \quad B(14)$$

From the above bounds on ΔC_n and ΔD_n we get bounds on

$$\Delta B_n = \Delta C_n + \Delta D_n$$

From (B8) and (B14) we get

$$|\Delta B_n(0)| \leq k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) [1 - \bar{g}(\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi})^{d/2} (1 + \frac{1}{2} L^{-\beta}) + \frac{c' \bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} + 5\varepsilon^{3/2}] \quad B(15)$$

This is (5.26). On the otherhand, from (B9) and (B11), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |L^\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta B_n| &\leq [\frac{c_2 c'_3 \bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{c_2 c}{L^{\bar{\beta}}} c^{(n-1)}] e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|} \\ &\leq \frac{c_2 c'}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} [\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} c^{(n-1)}] e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} c^{(n-1)} &= \frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} (\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{n-2} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} (\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}})^{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}}} k_*^{n-2} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} (\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}})^n \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into the previous inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |L^\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta B_n| &\leq \frac{c_2 c'}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} [\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) (1 + \frac{1}{k_* L^{\bar{\beta}/2}}) + \\ &\quad + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} (\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}})^n] \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|} \end{aligned}$$

We can now use the overall factors $L^{-\bar{\beta}/2}$ to bound unnecessary constants by 1/4.

We thus get:

$$|L^\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\varphi^2} \Delta B_n| \leq \frac{1}{4} [\frac{\bar{g}}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}} (\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}})^n] \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} \quad B(16)$$

which is (5.27). Finally from (B10) and (B12), we obtain similarly:

$$|\Delta B_n| \leq c [\bar{g} k_*^{n-1} \delta(\varepsilon) (1 + \frac{1}{k_* L^{\bar{\beta}/2}})^n + \bar{g}^2 (\frac{1}{L^{\bar{\beta}/2}})^n] \cdot (\frac{\varphi^2}{L^{\bar{\beta}}} e^{\frac{\lambda_*}{2} L^{-\beta} |\varphi|^2} + 1) \quad B(17)$$

which is (5.28).

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.

REFERENCES

1. D.R.Nelson, T.Piron and S.Weinberg (eds): *Statistical Mechanics of Membranes and Surfaces*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
2. M.Kardar and D.R.Nelson: Phys. Rev. Lett **58** (1987) 1298, Phys. Rev. Lett **58** (1987) 2280, Phys. Rev. A **38** (1988) 966
3. J.A.Aronowitz and T.C.Lubensky, *Europhys. Lett* **4** (1987), 395
4. B.Duplantier, Phys. Rev. Lett **58** (1987) 2733
5. B.Duplantier, Phys. Rev. Lett **62** (1989) 2337
6. B.Duplantier, T.Hwa and M.Kardar, Phys. Rev. Lett **64**, (1990) 2022.
7. F.David, B.Duplantier and E.Guitter: Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 2205, Nucl. Phys. B 394 (1993), 555
8. J.Kogut and K.G.Wilson: Phys. Report **12** C (1974) 75
9. G.Gallavotti *Memorie dell'Accademia dei Lincei* XV, (1978) 23
10. G.Gallavotti *Rev. of Modern Phys* **57** (1985), 471
11. G.Gallavotti *Lausanne Lectures* (3^o cycl.de la Suisse Romande 1990)
D.C.Brydges: *Lausanne Lectures* (3^o cycl de la Suisse Romande 1992)
12. K.Osterwalder and R.Stora (eds), *Critical Phenomena, Random Fields, Gauge Theoric Les Houches* (1984), (North Holland, Amsterdam 1986)
13. K.Gawedzki and A.Kupiainen: Nucl. Phys. B 262, (1985) 33, Comm. math. Phys. **102**, 1985, 1
14. K.Gawedzki and A.Kupiainen: Comm. Math, Phys, **89**, 191 (1983)